

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/050,168	01/18/2002	Tatsuya Kondoh	P21566	7596	
7055 75	90 03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
	M & BERNSTEIN, P.L.O CLARKE PLACE	LEE, JINHEE J			
RESTON, VA	= :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831	-	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,168	KONDOH ET AL.	KONDOH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit	1		
		Jinhee J Lee	2831	AW		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ition appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	ddress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 October 2003.				
·=	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 6. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 7. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 8. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do 9. Copies of the certified copies o	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have be larged in the priority documents have be larged.	in Application No een received in this National	l Stage		
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT · No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/050,168

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4, 6 and 10 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the group of wires" in line 7. This is unclear. Examiner suggests using "first group of wires" and "second group of wires" to distinguish the group of wires in the main harness and the sub harness to clarify.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the end connector" in line 3. This is unclear. Examiner suggests using "the at least one end connector" to clarify.

Claim 10 through out the claim uses the phrase "at least first and second" has an error. Examiner suggests "at least one first and second" instead to correct the error.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 4-6, 9-12, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parks et al. (US005239445A).

Re claim 4, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system for interconnecting at least one device connector (100 for example) of at least one first electronic device and at least one second device connector (104 for example) of at least one second electronic device spaced apart from the first electronic device, the wiring system comprising: at

least one main harness (150i between 100 and 102 for example) having at least one group of wires aligned in a substantially parallel direction; at least one sub harness (150i between 102 and 104 for example) having at least one group of wires, the group of wires having at least one wire crossover formed therein; and a coupling structure (102 for example) configured to couple the main harness and sub harness together to form a complete wiring harness that extends to interconnect the first and second device connectors, with the wire crossover formed only in the sub harness and with the main harness free of any wire crossovers (see figure 4).

Re claim 5, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system, wherein the coupling structure comprises a main harness side connector (unnumbered, a side of the pin connector 102 for example) and a sub harness side connector (unnumbered, another side of the pin connector 102 for example) which are mutually engageable with each other, and wherein a plurality of electrical terminals are arranged in the main harness side and sub harness side connectors respectively in such a manner as: (a) to correspond to an electrical terminal arrangement pattern of the first device connector so that the wires of the main harness are aligned in a substantially parallel manner; and (b) not to correspond to an electrical terminal arrangement pattern of the second device connector so that the wires of the sub harness are not aligned in a substantially parallel manner (see figure 4).

Re claim 6, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system, wherein the main harness comprises at least one end connector for connection to the first device connector, and wherein a plurality of electrical terminals are arranged in the end connector in such a

manner as to correspond to the electrical terminal arrangement pattern of the first device connector (see figure 4).

Re claim 9, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system comprising: at least one main harness (150i for example between 100 and 102) having at least one group of wires aligned in a substantially parallel direction; at least one sub harness (150i between 102 and 104 for example) having at least one group of wires, the group of wires having at least one joint portion (unnumbered at the twisted point); and a coupling structure (102 for example) configured to couple the main and sub harnesses together to form a complete wiring harness that extends to interconnect the first and second device connectors, with the joint portion provided only in the sub harness and with the main harness free of any joint portions (see figure 4).

Re claim 10, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system comprising: at least first and second main harnesses (150i for example between 100 and 102) each having at least one group of wires aligned in a substantially parallel direction (see figure 4); at least first and second sub harnesses (150i between 102 and 104 for example) each having at least one group of wires; at least first and second coupling members (102 for example) configured to couple the first and second main harnesses and the first and second sub harnesses together respectively to form at least first and second wiring harnesses that extend to interconnect the first and second device connectors and the first and second external connectors; wherein the wires of the first and second sub harnesses are configured to extend dispersedly between the first and second device connectors and the first and second coupling members, so that at least one wire crossover is formed,

with at least one of the wires of the first sub harness intersecting with at least one of the wires of the second sub harness, whereby the main harnesses are free of any wire crossovers (see figure 4).

Re claim 11, Parks et al. discloses a wiring system, wherein the first and second main harnesses respectively comprise first and second end connectors (at each pins for example), wherein the first and second coupling members and the first and second end connectors respectively comprise a predetermined terminal arrangement pattern that are respectively configured to correspond to an electrical terminal arrangement pattern of the first and second external connectors, so that the wires of the first and main harness are aligned in a substantially parallel manner (see figure 4).

Re claim 12, Parks et al. discloses a composite wire harness comprising: a main harness (150i for example between 100 and 102) including only a plurality of first wires, all of said first wires aligned substantially parallel with one another; a sub harness (150i between 102 and 104 for example) including a plurality of second wires, at least one of said second wires being a crossover wire; and a connecting device (102 for example) configured to connect said main harness to said sub harness to form said composite wire harness (see figure 4).

Re claim 16, Parks et al. discloses a composite wire harness, wherein the connecting device comprises a main harness side connector and a sub harness side connector which are mutually engageable with each other, and wherein a plurality of electrical terminals are arranged in the main harness side and sub harness side connectors respectively in such a manner as: (a) to correspond to an electrical terminal

Application/Control Number: 10/050,168 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

arrangement pattern of a first device connector so that said first wires of said main harness remain aligned in a substantially parallel manner; and (b) not to correspond to an electrical terminal arrangement pattern of a second device connector so that said second wires of said sub harness are not aligned in a substantially parallel manner (see figure 4).

Re claim 17, Parks et al. discloses a composite wire harness, wherein said main harness comprises at least one end connector for connection to said first device connector, and wherein a plurality of electrical terminals are arranged in said end connector in such a manner as to correspond to said electrical terminal arrangement pattern of said first device connector (see figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks et 6. al. in view of Norizuki et al. (US005888103A).

Regarding claim 7, Parks et al. discloses the invention as set forth in claim 5 above with method of mounting leading ends of the wires in pressure contact with an end connector, thereby providing the end connector for connection to the first device connector at one end of the main harness, and mounting the terminal fittings in the main harness side connector, thereby providing the main harness side connector for connection to the sub harness side connector at the other end of the main harness. Parks et al. does not explicitly disclose a method comprising: measuring the wires collectively at the same time; mounting leading ends collectively at the same time; cutting the other ends of the wires collectively at the same time; and crimping the other ends of the wires to terminal fittings. However, Norizuki et al. teaches of a method comprising: mounting leading ends collectively at the same time and crimping the other ends of the wires to terminal fittings (see abstract and figure 1b). The examiner also notes that the method of measuring the wires collectively at the same time and cutting the other ends of the wires collectively at the same time is inherent in the prior art cited, since the applicant points out in the specification that "the wires of the main harness can be measured and cut collectively at the same time" because the "wire crossover is concentrated in the sub harness, so that the main harness is left free of any wire crossovers". This requirement is met the Parks et al.

7. Claims 8, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parks et al.

Regarding claim 8, Parks et al. discloses the limitations of claim 4 above, but does not specifically disclose that the main harness constitutes approximately 90% -95% of a total length of the wiring harness, and the sub harness constitutes the remaining portion of the total length of the wiring harness. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to vary the length of each part of the harness in order to increase or decrease the length of the wires, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claim 13, Parks et al. above discloses all of the limitations of claim 12 above, but does not specifically disclose that said sub harness is substantially shorter than said main harness. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to vary the length of each part of the harness, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA) 1955).

Regarding claim 14, Parks et al. above discloses all of the limitations of claim 13 above, but does not specifically disclose that said sub harness has a length of approximately 5% to 10% of the length of said composite wire harness. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to vary the length of each part of the harness.

since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claim 15, Parks et al. above discloses all of the limitations of claim 13 above, but does not specifically disclose that said first wires have a length of approximately 2000 mm to 3000 m, and said second wires have a length of approximately 100 mm. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to vary the length of each part of the harness, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-3 are allowed as indicated in the office action dated June 4, 2003.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,168 Page 10

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 23, 2004

DEAN A. REICHAHU FRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800